Impact of Quality Management Practices on Firm Performance: The Research Evolution

DidikWahjudi, Moses L. Singgih, PatdonoSuwignjo

Industrial Engineering Department, SepuluhNopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia didik_wahjudi@yahoo.com;moses@ie.its.ac.id;patdono@ie.its.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Quality management has been known as a method to improve the organizational performance. Several organization types have implemented quality management, i.e. manufacturing and service companies, educational institution, healthcare provider, and public administration. Many studies have been done in this area exploring the effects of quality management on firm performance. Those researches varies in terms of organizational background, quality management practices, performance measures, mediating/moderating factors, analysis tools used, and research models. Several authors have reviewed papers on this topic. Last journal review is done by Nair in 2006. No author has done elaborative effort to review papers published since 2006. The aim of this paper is to capture the development of research in this field and to offer further research direction. In this literature review, papers will be classified in terms of organizational background, quality management practices, performance measures, mediating/moderating factors, analysis tools, and their research models. Findings of review and recommendations for further research will be presented.

Keywords: quality management practices, performance, literature review

1.Introduction

[1], [2], and [3] describe the importance of quality on performance and success of companies. Many approaches to quality management have been suggested to improve the efficiency and competitiveness of enterprises. Total Quality Management (TQM) is one of the most popular and the most widely recommended approaches because TQM is an integrated approach which integrates the whole organizational functions to focus on meeting customer needs and organizational objectives[4].

The first literature review on quality management was done by [5], which reviewed articles from 1970 to 1993. [5]tried to provide theoretical framework classifying past efforts and guiding future research. The next review on quality management publications was done by [6], which tried to synthesize, organize, and structure knowledge and offer suggestions for future research. The last literature review was conducted by [7], which examined the relationship between quality management practices (QMPs) and firm performance by performing meta-analysis on the publications from 1995 to 2004.

More than 50 papers have been published in this area in the past five years.Papers were found by using "quality management" and "performance" as keywords in Science Direct, ProQuest, and EBSCO databases. Most of these publications were country specific. Country that was mostly discussed by authors was Australia as in [8-14], followed by Malaysia[15-20], US [21-25], and Taiwan [26-29]. Unfortunately, there was no publication about the implementation of TQM in Indonesia found in the above mentioned databases. This paper will discuss what has been researched in the past five years in terms company background, quality management practices, performance measures, mediating&moderating factors, research models, and analysis tools.

2. Company Background

Company background discussed in studies of impact of quality management practices on company performance is quite diverse. There are researches that focus solely on manufacturing firms, as in[4, 18, 30]. There were also researches that study companies in general, as were done by[11, 31-32]. Even some studies focused on very specific industry, such as hospitality services [29, 33], logistics companies [34], petroleum industry [35], healthcare services [36], and maquiladoracompany [37].In addition to the types of company as mentioned above, there werestudies that limitedtheir objects to ISO 9001 certified companies as in [18, 38-41]. References[25, 32] used quality award winners or finalists as objects of their research.

Copyright © 2011 IESS.

In general there has been no study comparing the impact of implementation on the performance of quality management in manufacturing and service companies directly. The findings obtained from studies on manufacturing firms cannot be generalized to other industrial sectors. Reference [13] reported that QMPs of **measurement** and **process improvement** is more important for manufacturing companies than for construction companies. In addition, they mentioned that firms implementing TQM were more likely to emphasize aspects of **measurement** and **training** rather than companies that implemented ISO 9001 only or a combination of TQM and ISO 9001. Reference [39] also suggested future studies to include contextual factors such as firm size, types of company, scope of operations, level of competition, knowledge management, and ISO 9000 certification.

3. Quality Management Practices

Various quality management practices (QMPs) have been investigated. There were researchers who considered quality management as a single construct, such as [25, 28, 30, 32, 42-44]. But, most studies considered QMPs as a multiple construct, as were done by [4, 10-12, 18].

Leadership or top management commitment is the QMPS that is most used by researchers. Out of fifty one papers reviewed leadership or top management commitment were used thirty seven times, such as in [4, 10-12, 17-18, 33, 39, 41]. The next most used QMP was people management (34 times), followed by customer focus/satisfaction (29 times), information and analysis or quality data (25 times), process management (24 times), continuous improvement (19 times), employee involvement/relation (18 times), strategic or quality planning (18 times), and supplier relationship or supply chain management (16 times). There is no surprise with this result since most research models adopted the model of Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA) such as done by [10-12, 17, 24, 31, 34-35, 45-48]. In addition to QMPs adopted from MBNQA, some researchers included unique QMPs such as supplier relationship or supply chain management [17, 24, 35, 46-47] and employee involvement [35, 46], and continuous improvement [31, 34]. It should be noted that employee involvement sometimes was considered as a part of people management as in [31]. Similar situation applied to continuous improvement but in the opposite direction. MBNQA emphasizes on continuous performance improvement, innovation, integrating processes, and results [49].

Reference [18] used the following criteria to choose QMPs:

- · It has been identified as core practices for manufacturing and service companies
- · Contains elements of soft and hard TQM
- Includes quality criteria most famous award that has been accepted by the practitioners and academics.

Reference [7]recommended research in the future to be designed so that results could be compared with results of other studies. Taking into consideration this recommendation, the selection criteria suggested by [18] is quite appropriate.

4. Performance Measures

Performance measures commonly used in this area are quite diverse. Most of recent publications included financial performance measures [4, 8-9, 17, 20, 23-25, 29, 32, 36, 38, 41-43, 50-53]. In addition to financial performance that were included 20 times in 50 papers, performance measures that were used more than 5 times are customer satisfaction (17 times), product/service quality (10 times), operational performance (8 times), business performance (9 times), employee satisfaction (7 times), and innovation performance (8 times). Researchers are often found to use different terms for the same things such as employee value factor [30] with employee satisfaction [4]. In addition, the researchers also used different groupings of performance measures, i.e. business performance often included many other performance categories such as financial performance and sales performance.

In measuring the impact of implementation of TQM on corporate performance it is important to have balanced performance measurement frameworks. Balanced scorecard (BSC) is a performance measurement framework is the most widely discussed in publications [54]. Using balanced scorecard allows executives to avoid improving one performanceat the expense of another[55]. Reference [56] stated that the BSC scratched spread around the balance between short-term goals and long term, between financial measures and non-financial, between lagging and leading indicators and between internal and external performance perspective. [43]has evaluated the impact of JIT and TQM implementation in one hundred and seventeen Top 500 companies in Turkey with the use of performance measures according to the BSC concept.

5. Mediating & Moderating Factors

Reference [7] expressed in general the researchers reported a direct relationship between QMPs and performance. But increasing number of studies has measured the influence of mediating / moderating factors. Of the 51 publications that were carried out from 2006 to 2011, there were 20 publications that measured the influence of mediating / moderating

factors such as those conducted by [4, 9, 12, 20, 22-24, 26-27, 29, 37, 39-41, 44, 51, 53, 57-59]. Some articles used a group of QMPs as mediating factors such as done by [23, 37, 41, 57], while other papers used certain performance measures as mediating factors such as in [12, 24, 27, 39, 51, 53, 58]. The last group of papers used various factors as mediator such as time [4], culture [44], organizational learning [26], and strategic control systems[20].

The existence of mediating / moderating factors cannot be eliminated in the research model because the presence or absence of the influence of mediating factors can only be verified after data analysis. When impact of mediating factors tor was significant, QMPs impact on the performance of the company depends on its level of mediating factors.

6. Research Models

Most papers published in the last five years used perceptual research models. They used Likert scale models of 5-point, 5-point, 7-point, 10-point, or 100-point. [25, 44] used quantitative research models followed what has been done by [30]that measured the inventory performance of companies. While most studies in this field used the perceptual model with 5-pointLikert scale, [18] identified weaknesses of this kind of research model. 5-point Likert scale model is said to be less sensitive to marginal difference in QMPs and performances. Use of a larger scale is recommended.

Perceptual modelsgot some criticism because it is based on perception of respondents, rather than on real measures. Solution for this shortcoming was first proposed by [30], which developed a quantitative model. [25, 44] also used quantitative models in their studies. Unfortunately, models proposed by [25, 30, 44] cannot accommodate QMPs as multiple constructs. Till now a comprehensive solution to limitation of perceptual models has not been satisfactory as well. To overcome the limitations of a purely perceptual model, hybrid model that accommodates both quantitative and qualitative variables need to be done. Such a scenario has been used by [13, 33]. In their hybrid models, performance measureswere evaluated using quantitative variables, whereas qualitative variables were used when objective measureswere not available. To accommodate QMPs as multiple constructs, QMPs need to be treated as qualitative variables.

7. Analysis Tools

There were several analysis tools that were frequently used in this field, among which were the structural equations modeling (SEM), regression analysis, correlation analysis, ANOVA, discriminant analysis, and path analysis. In publications of the last five years, SEM is the most dominantlyused, followed successively by regression analysis, correlation analysis, and ANOVA. In the studies of [13, 33]that used hybrid models, both studies used both regression analysis and ANOVA as their analysis tools. Selection of analysis tools should be done thoughtfully. The use of different methodologies could potentially result in different findings [7].

8. Conclusion and Recommendation forFuture Research

The previous sections have discussed the differences and similarities identified in articles published in the last five years. Some findings from previous sections are as follows. First, company background should be made more homogeneous because different types of companies will result in different findings. Second, QMPs' selection criteria proposed by [18] are recommended so that findings can be compared with other research's findings. Third, performance measures that need to be included should reflect short-term goals and long term, financial and non-financial perspectives, lagging and leading indicators, and between balance between internal and external perspectives. Fourth, the research models need to accommodate relevant mediating/moderating factors because when these factors are excluded, the influence of these factors cannot be evaluated at all. Fifth, the existing models could not overcome the limitations of pure perceptual models. While use of quantitative variables for performance measures is widely accepted, there is no solution for inclusion of multiple constructs of QMPs using quantitative variables. The use of hybrid model is recommended, i.e. qualitative variables for QMPs and quantitative/qualitative variables for performance measures.

9. References

- [1] P. B. Crosby, *Quality Is Free*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1986.
- [2] W. E. Deming, Out of the Crisis. Cambridge, MA: MIT, Center for Advanced Educational Services, 1986.
- [3] J. M. Juran, Juran on Quality by Design: The New Steps for Planning Quality into Goods and Services. New York, NY: The Free Press, 1992.
- [4] V. Kumar, F. Choisne, D. d. Grosbois, and U. Kumar, "Impact of TQM on company's performance," International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2009, pp. 23-37.
- [5] S. L. Ahire, R. Landeros, and D. Y. Golhar, "Total Quality Management: A Literature Review And An Agenda for Future Research," *Production And Operations Management*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1995, pp. 277-306.

Impact of Quality Management Practices on Firm Performance: A Literature Review

- [6] R. Sousa and C. A. Voss, "Quality management re-visited: a reflective review and agenda for future research," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 20,No. 1, 2002, pp. 91-109.
- [7] A. Nair, "Meta-analysis of the relationship between quality management practices and firm performance—implications for quality management theory development," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 2006, pp. 948–975.
- [8] D. Gadenne and B. Sharma, "An investigation of the hard and soft quality management factors of Australian SMEs and their association with firm performance," *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 26,No. 9, 2009, pp. 865-880.
- [9] T. A. Joiner, "Total quality management and performance--The role of organization support and co-worker support," International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, Vol. 24, No. 6, 2007, pp. 617-627.
- [10] D. I. Prajogo and A. Brown, "Approaches to Adopting Quality in SMEs and the Impact on Quality Management Practices and Performance," *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 17, No. 5, 2006, pp. 555-566.
- [11] D. I. Prajogo and A. S. Sohal, "The relationship between organization strategy, total quality management (TQM), and organization performance—the mediating role of TQM," *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 168,No. 1, 2006, pp. 35-50.
- [12] D. I. Prajogo and A. S. Sohal, "The integration of TQM and technology/R&D management in determining quality and innovation performance," *Omega*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2006, pp. 296 - 312.
- [13] B. Sharma, "Quality Management Dimensions, Contextual Factors and Performance: An Empirical Investigation," *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 19, No. 9, 2006, pp. 1231–1244.
- [14] B. Sharma and D. Gadenne, "An Empirical Investigation of the Relationship between Quality Management Factors and Customer Satisfaction, Improved Competitive Position and Overall Business Performance," *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 16,No. 4, 2008, pp. 301-314.
- [15] M. M. B. Abdullah, Z. A. Ahmad, and A. Ismail, "The Importance of Soft Factors for Quality Improvement: Case Study of Electrical and Electronics Firms in Malaysia," *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 3, No. 12, 2008, pp. 60-69.
- [16] A. Agus and Z. f. Hassan, "The Strategic Supplier Partnership in A Supply Chain Management with Quality and Business Performance," *International Journal of Business and Management Science*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2008, pp. 129-145.
- [17] V. Arumugam, H. W. Chang, K.-B. Ooi, and P.-L. Teh, "Self-assessment of TQM practices: a case analysis," *The TQM Journal*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2009, pp. 46-58.
- [18] V. Arumugam, K.-B. Ooi, and T.-C. Fong, "TQM practices and quality management performance: An investigation of their relationship using data from ISO 9001:2000 firms in Malaysia," *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 20,No. 6, 2008, pp. 636-650.
- [19] N. Karia and M. H. A. H. Asaari, "The effects of total quality management practices on employees' work-related attitudes," *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2006, pp. 30-43.
- [20] I. Said, A. Omran, Z. Yaacob, and Z. Abas, "The relationship between quality management, strategic control systems, and financial performance of Malaysia local government," *Annals of University of Bucharest, Economic and Administrative Series*, No. 3, 2009, pp. 23-40.
- [21] K. J. Barker and C. R. Emery, "The Effect of TQM Factors On Financial And Strategic Performane-An Emprical Test Using Manufacturing Firms," Academy of Strategic Management Journal, Vol. 5,2006, pp. 39-59.
- [22] J. Jayaram, S. L. Ahire, and P. Dreyfus, "Contingency relationships of firm size, TQM duration, unionization, and industry context on TQM implementation—A focus on total effects," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 28,2010, pp. 345-356.
- [23] H. Kaynak and J. L. Hartley, "A replication and extension of quality management into the supply chain," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2008, pp. 468–489.
- [24] I. Sila, "Examining the effects of contextual factors on TQM and performance through the lens of organizational theories: An empirical study," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2007, pp. 83-109.
- [25] D. Subedi and S. Maheshwari, "Impact of Total Quality Management (TQM) on profitability and efficiency of Baldridge Award winners," *Delhi Business Review*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2007, pp. 55-62.
- [26] R. Y. Y. Hung, B.-H. Lien, B. Yang, C.-M. Wu, and Y.-M. Kuo, "Impact of TQM and organizational learning on innovation performance in the high-tech industry," *International Business Review*, Vol. 20,No. 2, 2010, pp. 213-225.
- [27] C. Lin and S. Chang, "Exploring TQM's Impact on the Causal Linkage between Manufacturing Objective and Organizational Performance," *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2006, pp. 465-484.
- [28] C.-H. Liu, "The Effect of A Quality Management System On Supply Chain Performance-An Empirical Study in Taiwan," International Journal of Management, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2009, pp. 285-294.
- [29] C.-H. Wang, K.-Y. Chen, and Shiu-ChunChen, "Total quality management, market orientation and hotel performance: The moderating effects of external environmental factors," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.03.013.

Impact of Quality Management Practices on Firm Performance: A Literature Review

- [30] A. R. Davidson, J. V. Chelsom, L. W. S. and, and F. R. Janes, "A new tool for assessing the presence of total quality," *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2001, pp. 12-24.
- [31] S. A. Brah, S. S. L. Tee, and B. M. Rao, "Relationship between TQM and performance of Singapore companies," *The International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2002, pp. 356-379.
- [32] S. Buklyte and J. Ruzevicius, "Total quality management impact on Lithuanian companies' performance efficiency," Organizacijø Vadyba: Sisteminiai Tyrimai, Vol. 55,2010, pp. 55-70.
- [33] J. J. Tari, E. Claver-Cortes, J. Pereira-Moliner, and J. F. Molina-Azorin, "Levels of quality and environmental management in the hotel industry: Their joint influence on firm performance," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 29,2010, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2009.10.029, pp. 500-510.
- [34] S. A. Brah and H. Y. Lim, "The effects of technology and TQM on the performance of logistics companies," *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 36, No. 3, 2006, pp. 192-209.
- [35] M. Mellat-Parast, S. G. Adams, and E. C. Jones, "An empirical study of quality management practices in the petroleum industry," *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 18, No. 8, 2007, pp. 693-702.
- [36] M. S. Macinati, "The relationship between quality management systems and organizational performance in the Italian National Health Service," *Health Policy*, Vol. 85, No. 2, 2008, pp. 228-241.
- [37] M. Jun, S. Cai, and H. Shin, "TQM practice in maquiladora: Antecedents of employee satisfaction and loyalty," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 2006, pp. 791-812.
- [38] H. M. Awan, M. I. Bhatti, K. Bukhari, and M. A. Qureshi, "Critical Success Factors of TQM: Impact on Business Performance of Manufacturing Sector in Pakistan," *International Journal of Business and Management Science*, Vol. 1,No. 2, 2008, pp. 187-203.
- [39] E. Sadikoglu and C. Zehir, "Investigating the effects of innovation and employee performance on the relationship between total quality management practices and firm performance: An empirical study of Turkish firms," *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 127, No. 1, 2010, pp. 13-26.
- [40] Q. Su, Z. Li, S.-X. Zhang, Y.-Y. Liu, and J.-X. Dang, "The impacts of quality management practices on business performance-An empirical investigation from China," *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 25, No. 8, 2008, pp. 809-823.
- [41] J. J. Tari, J. F. Molina, and J. L. Castejon, "The relationship between quality management practices and their effects on quality outcomes," *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 183, No. 2, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2006.10.016, pp. 483-501.
- [42] H. A. Al-Khadash and M. Feridun, "Impact of Strategic Initiatives in Management Accounting on Corporate Financial Performance: Evidence from Amman Stock Exchange," *Managing Global Transitions*, Vol. 4, No. 4, 2006, pp. 299-312.
- [43] Z. B. Aydın, S. Tüzüntürk, and M. E. Eryılmaz, "The effect of multiple performance criteria usage on the just in time production and total quality management implementation levels: Findings from Turkey," *METU Studies in Development*, Vol. 35,No. 2, 2008, pp. 225-247.
- [44] T. J. Kull and J. G. Wacker, "Quality management effectiveness in Asia: The influence of culture," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2010, pp. 223-239.
- [45] F. A. Fening, G. Pesakovic, and P. Amaria, "Relationship between quality management practices and the performance of small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana," *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 25, No. 7, 2008, pp. 694-708.
- [46] A. C. Phan, A. B. Abdallah, and Y. Matsui, "Quality management practices and competitive performance: Empirical evidence from Japanese manufacturing companies," *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.01.024.
- [47] K. P. Satish and R. Srinivasan, "Total Quality Management and Innovation Performance: An Empirical Study on the Interrelationships and Effects," *South Asian Journal of Management*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 8-22.
- [48] D. I. Prajogo and S. W. Hong, "The effectof TQM on performance in R&D environments: A perspective from South Korean firms," *Technovation*, Vol. 28, No. 12, 2008, pp. 855-863.
- [49] General Accounting Office, "Management Practices-U.S. Companies Improve Performance Through Quality Efforts," General Accounting Office, Washington, DC, 1991.
- [50] A. M. Belay, P. Helo, J. Takala, and F. M. Kasie, "Effects of Quality Management Practices and Concurrent Engineering in Business Performance," *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2011, pp. 45-62.
- [51] M. Demirbag, E. Tatoglu, M. Tekinkus, and S. Zaim, "An analysis of the relationship between TQM implementation and organizational performance-Evidence from Turkish SMEs," *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 17, No. 6, 2006, pp. 829-847.
- [52] M. M. Fuentes-Fuentes, F. J. Lloréns-Montes, L. M. Molina-Fernández, and C. A. Albacete-Sáez, "Environment-quality management coalignment across industrial contexts: An empirical investigation of performance implications," *Industrial Marketing Management*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2011.02.001.

Impact of Quality Management Practices on Firm Performance: A Literature Review

- [53] S. I. Salaheldin, "Critical success factors for TQM implementation and their impact on performance of SMEs," *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* Vol. 58, No. 3, 2009, pp. 215-237.
- [54] A. Neely, "The evolution of performance measurement research: Developments in the last decade and a research agenda for the next," *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 25, No. 12, 2005, pp. 1264-1277.
- [55] R. S. Kaplan and D. P. Norton, "The Balanced Scorecard-Measures That Drive Performance," *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. January-February, 1992, pp. 71-79.
- [56] P. Hepworth, "Weighing it up a literature review for the balanced scorecard," *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 17,No. 8, 1998, pp. 559-563.
- [57] L. Lakhal, F. Pasin, and M. Limam, "Quality management practices and their impact on performance," International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, Vol. 23, No. 6, 2006, pp. 625-646.
- [58] A. C. L. Yeung, "Strategic supply management, quality initiatives, and organizational performance," *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2007.06.004, pp. 490-502.
- [59] J. C. Pinho, "TQM and performance in small medium enterprises-The mediating effect of customer orientation and innovation," *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2008, pp. 256-275.